DELTA REGIONAL AUTHORITY

Application Scoring Process

	RT I	- Applies to all applications. Max. Points Part I, 325
	rt II <i>I</i>	Only one selection, A, B, C, D of Part II may be used.
	*	II-A: Apply to Committed Economic Development Projects , where there is a commitment from a business to locate, expand, or establish. Max. Points 120 + Part I, 325 = 445
	*	II-B: Apply to Speculative Economic Development Projects , such as industrial parks or business incubators. Max. Points 80 + Part I, 325 = 405
	*	II-C: Apply to Community Development Projects , where the objective is the improvement of the quality of life of those served by the project rather than directly or indirectly for economic development. Max. Points 120 + Part I, 325 = 445
	*	II-D: Apply to Operational Projects as opposed to infrastructure projects, where DRA funds may be used to pay for salaries and other operational costs. Max. Points 120 + Part I, 325 = 445

♦ The Minimum Target Threshold for each project is 65% of the totals of Parts I & II combined.

Understanding the Point System

- 1) Each of the criteria categories have a value based on the project's importance to Delta Regional Authority's mission. The first three criteria categories have been designated as "Thresholds" which means the criteria must be met for the project to be eligible for funding.
- 2) Each project may be scored on a scale ranging from 0 to 5 points, based on the type of project. Only one point choice may be selected per category.
- 3) The points for each category may be determined by multiplying the criteria value by the project score. Example: PART I-8 project location; a project in a distressed county/parish would receive a score of 25 (5 points x 5 value), while a project in a pocket-of-poverty would receive a score of 12 (3 points x 4 value).
- 4) The final points for each category may be determined by adding the point totals in PART I and PART II.

DELTA REGIONAL AUTHORITY

Project Score Sheet

Name of Project:		
Applicant:		_
LDD:	Federal ID #	_
DRA Funds Requested:	** Type of Funds:	
Application Date:	County:	
Projected Start Date:	Completion Date:	_

^{**}Office use only.

	SUMMARY OF TOTAL POINTS		
	Maximum Points	Category Scoring Total	
PART I	325		
PART II	A. = 120 B. = 80 C. = 120 D. = 120	A B C D	
TOTAL SCORE	PART I & PART II		
TARGET THRESHOLD	65% of Maximum Points		

DRA REVIEWER:	DATE:	

PART I (All projects)	Points	Important Information (Office Use Only)
1. Legislation (Project is eligible in DRA Legislation)☐ Applicant is a State, public, or nonprofit entity	Threshold	
2. Regional PlanProject is eligible under DRA regional plan (Refer to applicant's attached explanation.)	Threshold	
3. State PlanProject is eligible under state DRA plan.(Refer to applicant's attached explanation.)	Threshold	
 4. Priority Considerations - Value 5 □ (a) Project relates directly or indirectly to economic development □ (b) Project will impact an area of low income, 	5 5	
high unemployment, and high poverty (c) Project funding is adequate for successful implementation	5	
 (d) Project is important to achieve the goals of regional and state plans (e) Project will have a permanent rather than 	5	
temporary impact or socio-economic conditions (f) Project outputs and outcomes can be identified and evaluated	5	
5. Project Focus - Value 4 ✓ Transportation Infrastructure ✓ Basic Public Infrastructure ✓ Workforce Development ✓ Business Development		
 ☐ Project relates directly to one or more DRA's four areas of emphasis 	5	
☐ Project relates indirectly to one or more DRA's four areas of emphasis	3	
 □ Project has no relationship to DRA's four areas of emphasis 	0	
6. DRA Initiative - Value 5□ Project implements a publicly announced DRA initiative	5	
7. Governor's Initiative - Value 5 Project implements a publicly announced Governor's initiative	5	

PART I - Continued (All projects)	Points	Important Information (Office Use Only)
 8. Project Location - Value 5 Project is located in a distressed county/parish Project is located in a pocket-of-poverty (so designated by the DRA) Other location 	5 3 0	
 9. Outputs (tabulation, calculation of activity or effort expressed in a quantitative and qualitative manner) - Value 3 Outputs are identified and quantified Outputs identified but not quantified Outputs not identified 	5 3 0	
 10. Outcomes (Results produced) - Value 3 Outcomes are identified and quantified Outcomes identified but not quantified Outcomes not identified 	5 3 0	
 11. Reasonableness of Costs - Value 3 Costs seem reasonable for type and magnitude of project Costs appear to be somewhat high or low for type and magnitude of project Costs appear to be excessively high or low for type and magnitude of project 	5 3 0	
12. Project Impact - Value 3 ☐ Project will have a regional (multi-state impact) ☐ Project will have a multi county/parish impact ☐ Project will have a local (single county/parish) impact	5 3 1	
13. Funding Schedule - Value 4 ☐ All necessary funding is approved ☐ All necessary funding has been requested ☐ All necessary funding has been identified ☐ Necessary funds have not been identified	5 3 1 0	
PART I TOTAL	Maximum Points 325	

PART II-A Committed Economic Development Projects (Business location/Expansion/Formation)	Points	Important Information (Office Use Only)
 1. Company Commitment - Value 5 Required, written commitment for jobs, investment, and time-line Intent, but no written commitment No statement of intent from company 	5 3 0	
 Company Financial/Management Capacity - Value 4 Long-term success is probable Long-term success is possible Long-term success is improbable 	5 3 0	
3. DRA Cost Per Direct Permanent Job - Value 3	5 3 1 0	
4. Ratio (%) of DRA Funding to Fed/State/Local/Other Match - Value 3 1:100 1:75 1:50 Less than 1:50	5 3 5 0	
5. Preliminary Engineering Report - Value 2SubmittedNot submitted	5 0	
6. Company is a Basic Industry - Value 4 Yes No	5 0	
 7. Market Location - Value 3 Company will have a national and international market Company will have a national or international market Company will have a regional (multi-state) market Company will have a local (intra-state) market 	5 3 1 0	
PART II-A TOTAL	Maximum Points 120	

PART II-B Speculative Economic Development Projects (Including Transportation/Highway Projects)	Points	Important Information (Office Use Only)
1. Competing Facilities or Asset - Value 3NoneSomeMajor	5 3 0	
 2. Agency Responsible for Marketing - Value 3 Experienced, good track record Newly formed, little or no experience None identified 	5 3 0	
3. Timing of Impact - Value 3 Immediate Less than 5 years More than 5 years	5 3 0	
4. Preliminary Engineering Report - Value 2SubmittedNot Submitted	5 0	
 5. Supporting Facilities or Asset Required for Success - Value 5 All are existing Planned and funded Planned but not funded Not planned 	5 3 1 0	
PART II-B TOTAL	Maximum Points 80	

DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE. FOR DRA CENTRAL OFFICE ONLY.

1. Magnitude of Problem Use for Water/Sewer Only - Value 5 ☐ Improvement of public system is being mandated 5 by state environmental organization ☐ Degradation of personal system (well, spring, 5 septic system) has occurred to the extent that the
 ☐ Improvement of public system is being mandated by state environmental organization ☐ Degradation of personal system (well, spring, septic system) has occurred to the extent that the
Degradation of personal system (well, spring, septic system) has occurred to the extent that the
health and well being of users are isopardized
health and well-being of users are jeopardized Degradation of personal system (water or 3
wastewater) has occurred to the extent that frequent user problems are occurring
□ Public system is operating beyond 80 percent 3
of capacity Dublic system is operating beyond 70 percent 1
of capacity
Project will improve taste, odor, or removecontaminants thought to pose a health risk now
or in the near future
Project involves maintenance items – replacementof equipment
□ Project does not address a current problem 0
2. Magnitude of Problem – Use for other
Projects - Value 5 ☐ Solves health/safety problem 5
☐ Solves health/safety problem☐ Improves living conditions53
Removes inconveniences and improves quality 1
of public services Does not address current problem 0
Does not address current problem
3. Cost Per Person Served - Value 3 \$\Begin{align*} \text{Stripped} St
□ \$1,000 of itess □ \$1,001 to \$2,000
□ \$2,001 to \$3,000 1
□ Over \$3,000 0
☐ Benefit cannot be measured N/A
4. Local Priority - Value 2
☐ Long-standing (greater than 5 years) 5
□ Near-term (3-5 years)□ New (less than 3 years)31
□ No evidence of local priority 0

PART II-C – Continued Community Development Projects	Points	Important Information (Office Use Only)
5. Preliminary Engineering Report - Value 2SubmittedNot Submitted	5 0	
 6. Documentation of Problem - Value 4 Objective, from outside agency/source Media information Letters of support No documentation provided 	5 3 1 0	
PART II-C TOTAL	Maximum Points 120	

DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE. FOR DRA CENTRAL OFFICE ONLY.

PART II-D Operational Projects	Points	Important Information (Office Use Only)
 1. Magnitude of Problem - Value 5 Solves health/safety problem Improves living conditions Removes inconveniences and improves quality of public services Does not address current problem 	5 3 1	
 2. Local Priority - Value 2 Long-standing (greater than 5 years) Near-term (3-5 years) New (less than 3 years) No evidence of local priority 	5 3 1 0	
 3. Meets Acceptable Standards for Project/ Situation - Value 3 Yes Somewhat No 	5 3 0	
 4. Documentation of Problem - Value 4 Objective, from outside agency/source Media information Letters of support No documentation provided 	5 3 1 0	
5. Grantee - Value 2 State or local government Non-profit None identified	5 3 0	
6. Experience of Operating Agency - Value 2 □ Long-term, no problems □ New, no problems □ Long-term, some problems □ Long-term, major problems	5 3 1 0	
7. Financial Plans after DRA Funding -Value 4 □ Firm commitments □ Reasonable Expectations □ Unreasonable or none identified	5 3 0	
PART II-D TOTAL	Maximum Points 120	

DELTA REGIONAL AUTHORITY

Project Approval/Disapproval

Threshold Requirements

All requirements have been met and the project is eligible for funding.

290-445 Points

The project has met all qualifying criteria for approved funding.	
Acceptance Notification sent – Date:	_
Reviewer:	

100-289 Points

Approval denied. Subject to the Federal Co-Chairman requesting additional information from the submitting State.

The project maybe rescored and approved based on additional information provided.

Less than 100 Points

Federal Co-Chair will not approve project with justification to follow.

DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE. FOR DRA CENTRAL OFFICE ONLY.